

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/768,968	AVNERY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kishor Mayekar	1795
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 May 2008</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 24-46 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 10-23 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 6-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 4 and 5 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te

Art Unit: 1795

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC \$ 102 and \$ 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over WO 02/26378 A1. WO '378 is applied for reasons as of record. If there is a difference between WO '378 and the above claims, it will be in the intended use or the process limitation of the separation arrangement. As claims directed to an apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function, the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the reference's teachings because it has been held on the intended use of a device that "apparatus claims cover what a device is, not what a device does", Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc., 15 USPQ 2d 1525.
- 3. Claims 1-3 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Etievant et al. (US 6,245,309 B1). Etievant is applied for reasons as of record. If there is a difference between Etievant

Application/Control Number: 10/768,968

Art Unit: 1795

and the above claims, it will be in the intended use or the process limitation of the separation arrangement. As claims directed to an apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function, the subject matter as a whole

Page 3

would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

was made to have modified the reference's teachings because it has been held on the

intended use of a device that "apparatus claims cover what a device is, not what a device

does", Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc., 15 USPQ 2d 1525.

4. Claims 6-8 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either WO '378 or Etievant '309, for reasons as of record.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 10-23 stand allowed, for reasons as of record.
- 6. Claims 4 and 5 stand objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, for reasons as of record.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 20 May 2008 have been fully considered but they are

Application/Control Number: 10/768,968

Art Unit: 1795

persuasive.

Applicant argues that neither WO '378 nor Etievant disclose a separation arrangement as now claimed in the independent claim 1. Since the independent claim 1 as amended recites the process limitation of the separation arrangement and is not distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure, the claim and its dependent claims are rejected for reasons as set forth in the paragraphs above.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP \$ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/768,968

Art Unit: 1795

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kishor Mayekar whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1339. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nam Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information

for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information

about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on

access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-

217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service

Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN

USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kishor Mayekar/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795

Page 5

